

# Toward Showing Equality in Lemma 25 from Bshouty's "Learning with Errors in Answers to Membership Queries"

Livia Overand

Aug 1, 2005

## 1 Introduction

In "Learning with Errors in Answers to Membership Queries" it is shown that for any two boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^{n_1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $g : \{0, 1\}^{n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and two sets of disjoint variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$  we have,

$$size_{DCD}(f(x) \oplus g(y)) \leq size_{DCD}(f(x)) \cdot size_{DCD}(g(y)).$$

I would like to extend this to prove equality. I have made progress in doing so, but lack the proof for one crucial step. This paper documents the progress I have made and describes the problems that I have encountered in attempting to complete this proof.

## 2 Definitions

1. The number of *conflicts* between two terms is the number of variables occurring un-negated in one term and negated in the other.
2. A DNF is *disjoint* if any two of its terms have at least one conflict.
3. Any two terms that have at least two conflicts can not be covered by a single term of fewer variables.
4. The *minimal disjoint CDNF representation for the always false function* is  $(0, 1)$ , where  $size_{DCD}((0, 1)) = 1$ .
5. The *minimal disjoint DNF representation for the always false function* is 0, where  $size_{DDNF}(0) = 0$ .
6. The *minimal disjoint CDNF representation for the always true function* is  $(1, 0)$ , where  $size_{DCD}((1, 0)) = 1$ .
7. The *minimal disjoint DNF representation for the always true function* is 1, where  $size_{DDNF}(1) = 1$ .
8.  $size_{DCD}(f) = size_{DDNF}(f) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f})$
9.  $(f \oplus g) \equiv (f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g) \equiv \overline{(f \wedge g) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge \bar{g})}$

### 3 Completed Progress

**Remark 1** By definitions 5 and 6 we have,

$$size_{DCD}(f \oplus g) = size_{DDNF}((f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)) + size_{DDNF}((f \wedge g) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge \bar{g})).$$

**Lemma 1** For any two boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^{n_1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $g : \{0, 1\}^{n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and two sets of disjoint variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ ,

$$size_{DDNF}((f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)) = size_{DDNF}(f \wedge \bar{g}) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f} \wedge g).$$

**Proof** : First notice that for any two functions on disjoint variables we have,

$$f \wedge \bar{g} \equiv (f \wedge \bar{g}) \wedge (f \vee \bar{g}) \equiv (f \wedge \bar{g}) \wedge \overline{(\bar{f} \wedge g)}$$

and

$$\bar{f} \wedge g \equiv (\bar{f} \wedge g) \wedge (\bar{f} \vee g) \equiv (\bar{f} \wedge g) \wedge \overline{(f \wedge \bar{g})}.$$

So,

$$(f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g) \equiv \left[ (f \wedge \bar{g}) \wedge \overline{(\bar{f} \wedge g)} \right] \vee \left[ (\bar{f} \wedge g) \wedge \overline{(f \wedge \bar{g})} \right] \equiv (f \wedge \bar{g}) \oplus (\bar{f} \wedge g).$$

I first show

$$size_{DDNF}((f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)) \leq size_{DDNF}(f \wedge \bar{g}) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f} \wedge g).$$

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be a minimal disjoint DNF for  $(f \wedge \bar{g})$  and  $(\bar{f} \wedge g)$  of size  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  respectively. Then  $P \vee Q$  is a disjoint DNF for  $((f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g))$  of size  $s_1 + s_2$ .

I now show

$$size_{DDNF}((f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)) \geq size_{DDNF}(f \wedge \bar{g}) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f} \wedge g).$$

Suppose  $size_{DDNF}((f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)) < size_{DDNF}(f \wedge \bar{g}) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f} \wedge g)$ . Then, there exists some term in the disjoint DNF for  $(f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)$  that covers a portion of  $f \wedge \bar{g}$  and a portion of  $\bar{f} \wedge g$ . Clearly, any such term must have less than  $n_1 + n_2$  literals, since any term with  $n_1 + n_2$  literals must either be in  $f \wedge \bar{g}$  or in  $\bar{f} \wedge g$ , but not both. So consider a term that covers a portion of both  $f \wedge \bar{g}$  and  $\bar{f} \wedge g$  that has less than  $n_1 + n_2$  literals.

Case 1: All absent variables are from the domain of  $f$ . Then this term covers a portion of  $f$  and of  $\bar{f}$ . However, if no variables are removed from the domain of  $g$ , then this term still only covers a portion of  $g$  or  $\bar{g}$ , but not both.

Case 2: All absent variables are from the domain of  $g$ . Then this term covers a portion of  $g$  and of  $\bar{g}$ . However, if no variables are removed from the domain of  $f$ , then this term still only covers a portion of  $f$  or  $\bar{f}$ , but not both.

Case 3: Some variables are removed from the domain of  $f$  and from the domain of  $g$ . Then some  $x_i$  has been removed such that when the value of that variable changes, the value of  $f$  changes. Also some  $y_i$  has been removed such that when the value of that variable changes, the value of  $g$  changes. So this term covers assignments that satisfy  $f \wedge \bar{g}$  and  $\bar{f} \wedge g$ . However, it also covers assignments that satisfy  $f \wedge g$  and  $\bar{f} \wedge \bar{g}$ . This is a contradiction, because  $(f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)$  is zero when either  $f \wedge g$  is satisfied or when  $\bar{f} \wedge \bar{g}$  is satisfied. Therefore,  $size_{DDNF}((f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)) \geq size_{DDNF}(f \wedge \bar{g}) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f} \wedge g)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2** For any minimal disjoint DNF  $T$  of size  $s$ , the expression obtained by deleting any term from  $T$  is a minimal disjoint DNF of size  $s - 1$ .

**Proof :** Let  $T = t_1 \vee t_2 \vee \dots \vee t_s$  and  $T'$  be the expression obtained by deleting some  $t_i$  from  $T$ . Clearly,  $T' = t_1 \vee t_2 \vee \dots \vee t_{i-1} \vee t_{i+1} \vee \dots \vee t_s$  is a disjoint DNF of size at least  $s - 1$ . Suppose  $size_{DDNF}(T') < s - 1$ . Then there is some covering of all but one of the terms in  $T$  of size less than  $s - 1$ . This, however, is a contradiction to the minimality of  $T$ .  $\square$

**Fact 1** For any two boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^{n_1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $g : \{0, 1\}^{n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and two sets of disjoint variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ , there are

$$(2^{2^{n_1}} - 1) \cdot (2^{2^{n_2}} - 1) + 1$$

different boolean functions  $h : \{0, 1\}^{n_1+n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where  $h$  is of the form  $f \wedge g$ .

**Proof :** The number of functions on  $n_1$  variables is  $2^{2^{n_1}}$ . Likewise, the number of functions on  $n_2$  variables is  $2^{2^{n_2}}$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are on disjoint variables  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}) \wedge g(y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}) = h(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ . By the product rule the number of functions  $h : \{0, 1\}^{n_1+n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where  $h$  is of the form  $f \wedge g$  is  $2^{2^{n_1}} \cdot 2^{2^{n_2}}$ . However, one of the  $2^{2^{n_1}}$  functions is the always false function. Likewise, one of the  $2^{2^{n_2}}$  functions is the always false function. Since  $0 \wedge g = 0$  and  $f \wedge 0 = 0$ ,  $2^{2^{n_1}} + 2^{2^{n_2}} - 1$  functions,  $h$ , will be the always false function. Therefore, the number of different functions  $h : \{0, 1\}^{n_1+n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where  $h$  is of the form  $f \wedge g$  is

$$2^{2^{n_1}} \cdot 2^{2^{n_2}} - (2^{2^{n_1}} + 2^{2^{n_2}} - 1) + 1 = (2^{2^{n_1}} - 1) \cdot (2^{2^{n_2}} - 1) + 1$$

$\square$

**Fact 2** For any two boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^{n_1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $g : \{0, 1\}^{n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and two sets of disjoint variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ , if  $P$  is a minimal disjoint DNF for  $f(x)$  and  $Q$  is a minimal disjoint DNF for  $g(y)$ , then no two terms in  $P \wedge Q$  can be covered by a single term of fewer variables.

**Proof :** Since any two terms in  $P$  have at least one conflict, any two terms in  $Q$  have at least one conflict, and  $P$  and  $Q$  are on disjoint variables, any two terms in  $P \wedge Q$  have at least two conflicts. Any two terms that have two conflicts can not be covered by a single term of fewer variables.  $\square$

## 4 Future Work

In order to finish proving

$$size_{DCD}(f(x) \oplus g(y)) = size_{DCD}(f(x)) \cdot size_{DCD}(g(y))$$

it is necessary to show that for any two boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^{n_1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $g : \{0, 1\}^{n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and two sets of disjoint variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ ,

$$size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) \geq size_{DDNF}(f) \cdot size_{DDNF}(g). \quad (1)$$

If this fact can be proven then it would imply that

$$size_{DDNF}((f \wedge \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{f} \wedge g)) \geq size_{DDNF}(f) \cdot size_{DDNF}(\bar{g}) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f}) \cdot size_{DDNF}(g),$$

which would then imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
size_{DCD}(f \oplus g) &\geq size_{DDNF}(f) \cdot size_{DDNF}(\bar{g}) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f}) \cdot size_{DDNF}(g) \\
&+ size_{DDNF}(f) \cdot size_{DDNF}(g) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f}) \cdot size_{DDNF}(\bar{g}) \\
&= (size_{DDNF}(f) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f})) \cdot (size_{DDNF}(g) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{g})) \\
&= size_{DCD}(f) \cdot size_{DCD}(g)
\end{aligned}$$

I have not, however, been able to prove (1). I attempted to prove this by induction on  $n = n_1 + n_2$ . The base case is simple. For  $n=0$  we have  $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 0)$ . The only functions on zero variables are the always true or always false function. If  $f \wedge g = 0$  then either  $f = 0$  or  $g = 0$ , and clearly  $size_{DDNF}(0) = 0 \geq size_{DDNF}(0) \cdot size_{DDNF}(g) = 0 \cdot size_{DDNF}(g) = 0$ . If  $f \wedge g = 1$  then  $f = g = 1$ , and clearly  $size_{DDNF}(1) = 1 \geq size_{DDNF}(1) \cdot size_{DDNF}(1) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ . Then the inductive hypothesis is for a boolean function  $f \wedge g : \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , where  $k$  is an arbitrary number of variables,  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) \geq size_{DDNF}(f) \cdot size_{DDNF}(g)$ . I have not, however, been able to find a way to use this hypothesis to prove the case for  $f \wedge g : \{0, 1\}^{k+1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

I have also attempted to prove (1) by double induction on  $(n_1, n_2)$ . Again the base cases are simple, and we get the additional facts that  $\forall n_2((0, n_2) \rightarrow (0, n_2 + 1))$  and  $\forall n_1((n_1, 0) \rightarrow (n_1 + 1, 0))$ . Again the problem is that I have not found a way to use the inductive hypothesis to prove the inductive step.

I believe my most hopeful attempt to prove (1) was by double induction on  $(s_1, s_2)$ , where  $size_{DDNF}(f) = s_1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = s_2$ . Following is an outline of my progress for this proof.

$\forall s_1 \forall s_2$ , if  $size_{DDNF}(f) = s_1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = s_2$ , then  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) = s_1 \cdot s_2$ .

- **Base case**  $\forall s_2$ , if  $size_{DDNF}(f) = 0$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = s_2$ , then  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) = 0 \cdot s_2$ .

- If  $size_{DDNF}(f) = 0$ , then  $f$  is the always false function. For any function  $g$ ,  $0 \wedge g = 0$ , so  $size_{DDNF}(0 \wedge g) = 0$ .

- **Inductive Hypothesis**  $\forall s_2$ , if  $size_{DDNF}(f) = m$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = s_2$ , then  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) = m \cdot s_2$ .

- **Inductive Step**  $\forall s_2$ , if  $size_{DDNF} = m + 1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = s_2$ , then  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) = (m + 1) \cdot s_2$ .

- **Base Case** If  $size_{DDNF} = m + 1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = 0$ , then  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) = (m + 1) \cdot 0$ .

- If  $size_{DDNF}(g) = 0$ , then  $g$  is the always false function. For any function  $f$ ,  $f \wedge 0 = 0$ , so  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge 0) = 0$ .

- **Inductive Hypothesis** If  $size_{DDNF}(f) = m + 1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = n$ , then  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) = (m + 1) \cdot n$ .

? **Inductive Step** If  $size_{DDNF} = m + 1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = n + 1$ , then  $size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) = (m + 1) \cdot (n + 1)$ .

Intuitively, this last inductive step seems possible to prove. Let  $P = p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_{m+1}$  be a minimal disjoint DNF for  $f$  and  $Q = q_1 \vee q_2 \vee \dots \vee q_{n+1}$  be a minimal disjoint DNF for  $g$ . Then,  $P \wedge Q = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m+1} \bigvee_{j=1}^{n+1} (p_i \wedge q_j) = \left[ \bigvee_{i=1}^{m+1} \bigvee_{j=1}^n (p_i \wedge q_j) \right] \vee \left[ \bigvee_{i=1}^{m+1} (p_i \wedge q_{n+1}) \right]$ . By the inductive hypothesis, we know that  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m+1} \bigvee_{j=1}^n (p_i \wedge q_j)$  is a minimal disjoint DNF of size  $(m + 1) \cdot n$  for  $f \wedge g$ , if  $size_{DDNF}(f) = m + 1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = n$ . It is also clear that  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m+1} (p_i \wedge q_{n+1})$  is a minimal disjoint DNF of size  $m + 1$  for  $f \wedge g$ , if  $size_{DDNF}(f) = m + 1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = 1$ . However, it is unclear how to prove that  $\left[ \bigvee_{i=1}^{m+1} \bigvee_{j=1}^n (p_i \wedge q_j) \right] \vee \left[ \bigvee_{i=1}^{m+1} (p_i \wedge q_{n+1}) \right]$  is a minimal disjoint DNF for  $f \wedge g$ , if  $size_{DDNF}(f) = m + 1$  and  $size_{DDNF}(g) = n + 1$ . In lemma 2, I showed that for any minimal disjoint DNF  $T$  of size  $s$ , the expression obtained by deleting any term from  $T$  is a minimal disjoint DNF of size  $s - 1$ . If something could be said about the opposite direction, that is, if some conditions could be determined about forming a minimal disjoint DNF of size  $s$  by adding a term to minimal disjoint DNF of size  $s - 1$ , then I believe the inductive step could be proved.

The only way I have been able to prove (1) for any fixed  $n$  is by exhaustively considering all functions on  $n$  variables. I have, in fact, done this for  $n = 1, 2,$  and  $3$ .

I have also attempted to prove that for any two boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^{n_1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $g : \{0, 1\}^{n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and two sets of disjoint variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ , if  $P$  is a minimal disjoint DNF for  $f(x)$  of size  $s_1$  and  $Q$  is a minimal disjoint DNF for  $g(x)$  of size  $s_2$ , then  $P \wedge Q$  is minimal disjoint DNF for  $f \wedge g$  of size  $s_1 \cdot s_2$ . Clearly,  $P \wedge Q$  is a disjoint DNF for  $f \wedge g$  of size  $s_1 \cdot s_2$ . Showing that  $P \wedge Q$  is minimal, however, has proved to be a difficult task. There really is no precise definition for a minimal representation of a function other than its size is smaller than any other representation of the function. A minimal representation is not unique, and there certainly are other minimal disjoint DNF representations other than  $P \wedge Q$  for  $f \wedge g$ .

## 5 Conclusion

In my attempt to prove that for any two boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^{n_1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $g : \{0, 1\}^{n_2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and two sets of disjoint variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ ,

$$size_{DCD}(f(x) \oplus g(y)) = size_{DCD}(f(x)) \cdot size_{DCD}(g(y))$$

I have only managed to show that

$$size_{DCD}(f \oplus g) = size_{DDNF}(f \wedge \bar{g}) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f} \wedge g) + size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) + size_{DDNF}(\bar{f} \wedge \bar{g}).$$

It remains to be shown that

$$size_{DDNF}(f \wedge g) \geq size_{DDNF}(f) \cdot size_{DDNF}(g)$$

holds for any two boolean functions on disjoint variables. I am thoroughly convinced that this is true and that it can in fact be proven.